



#### Introduction:

The City Commission is responsible for conducting Charter Officer annual evaluations ensuring the Charter contributes to the achievement of the City's mission, vision and goals. Charter Officer performance evaluations shall be conducted after the conclusion of each fiscal year.

The purpose of the Charter Officer evaluation is to provide timely, clear and focused input to the Charter about how well he or she is performing in the key performance areas identified as most critical by the City Commission in achieving the City of Gainesville's strategic objectives.

The Charter Officer should be evaluated using criteria established by the Commission, aligning with established core competencies, review rating scale criteria and salary adjustment schedules as determined by Human Resources. Human Resources will notify the Commission at least one month in advance of performance evaluation due dates. Annual salary increases will be based on the Charter Officer's performance of established objectives in the prior fiscal year. Any annual awards are made based on market considerations and according to budgetary or fiscal constraints.

### Commission Instructions:

Evaluate the Charter Officer's performance for each area of accountability using the rating scale outlined below. Consider all criteria together when forming an impression about the Charter Officer's performance. Add comments you believe provide context to your rating or that would be helpful to the Charter Officer.

		Performance Descriptors and Rating Scale				
5 Superior		ork performance consistently exceeds all performance expectations and displays a nsistent level of exceptional performance. This is consistent, exceptional rformance.				
4	Exemplary	Work performance consistently achieves all performance expectations and key elements of performance consistently are carried out in an exceptional manner or sustained periods. This is consistent, outstanding performance.				
3	Meets Expectations	Work performance consistently achieves all performance expectations for this factor.				
2	Needs Improvement	Work performance on one or more elements is less than expected and requires some improvements to fully meet performance standards.				
1	Did Not Meet Expectations	Work performance within this factor is unacceptable. Performance did not meet expected performance standards.				

Ratings 2 or below should include comments and if applicable provide specific suggestions for performance improvement.

### Charter Officer Instructions and Next Steps:

- Using the above Rating Scale, the Charter Officer will self-evaluate and rate his or her performance. The Charter Officer should also attach his or her **Accomplishments List or Report** to the form.
- Charter Officer to submit completed self-evaluation and accomplishments report to Human Resources.
- Charter Officer to schedule individual evaluation discussion meetings with each Commission member to discuss selfevaluation and list of accomplishments. Charters should also be prepared to discuss objectives for next fiscal year.
- After reviewing the Charter Officer self-evaluation and accomplishments report with the Charter Officer, each Commission member will provide an evaluation rating, using the above Rating Scale.
- Following Commission and Charter performance evaluation discussion, completed performance evaluations are returned to Human Resources.
- Human Resources will compile and aggregate all Charter Officer evaluation ratings, coordinate and present for discussion during a publicly noticed Commission meeting. Note: Salary increases are budgeted in a personal services contingency account and recommended salary increases will be processed within established payroll processing timelines.



Fiscal Year: $2$	E	valuator:	WAK	Ø		
Charter Officer:	Ti	itle: ec				
oal(s) If needed use additional goals sheet	(page 5):					
Overall Support of City Commission Goals and City's philosophy (vision, mission, values); actively purpose these relate to the Charter Officers' job and dep	irsues an un artment.	derstanding	es an unde g of organiz	rstanding of ational goa	f and suppols Is and obje	orts the ctives and
Charter to attach Accomplishments Report for Eval Describe Goal(s):	luation Peri	oq		- 1 × 5,01 × 1	es funcioned in	
Commission Member: Check performance rating	1 🗆	2	3□	4	55	N/A[]
Commission Member Comments:						
Charter Officer: Check self-evaluation rating Charter Officer Comments:	10	2□	3□	4	5□	N/A
ompetencies:						•
Business Acumen. Understands the business, fill responsibility; realizes implications of key finance diagnose business strengths and weaknesses.	nancial stati ial indicator	us of the or	ganization a economic a	and core op and industr	erational a y data to ac	rea of curately
diddinge phylicos stichens and acquiresses.						
Commission Member: Check competency rating	1□	2□	3□	4□	56	N/A□
Commission Member: Check competency rating Commission Member Comments:	1	2□	3□	4	550	11177
Commission Member: Check competency rating	10	2□	3□	4	50	11177



Commission Member: Check competency rating	1	2	3□	4	5	N/A
Commission Member Comments:						
Charter Officer: Check self-evaluation rating	10	2□	3□	4	5□	N/A
Charter Officer Comments:						
	get to provide	e services at	a level dire	ected by th	e Commiss	ion,
ensuring actions and decisions reflect an appro	priate level o	f responsibi	lity for fina	ncial plann	ing and acc	countability
ensuring actions and decisions reflect an appro Commission Member: Check competency rating	get to provide priate level o	e services at f responsibi	a level dire	ected by the notal plann	e Commissing and acc	ion, countability
ensuring actions and decisions reflect an appro Commission Member: Check competency rating	priate level o	f responsibi	lity for fina	ncial plann	ing and acc	countability
ensuring actions and decisions reflect an appro Commission Member: Check competency rating Commission Member Comments:	priate level o	f responsibi	lity for fina	ncial plann	ing and acc	countability
ensuring actions and decisions reflect an appro Commission Member: Check competency rating Commission Member Comments:  Charter Officer: Check self-evaluation rating	priate level o	f responsibl	lity for fina	4D	ing and acc	N/A 🗆
3. Fiscal Management. Prepares a balanced budgensuring actions and decisions reflect an appro Commission Member: Check competency rating Commission Member Comments:  Charter Officer: Check self-evaluation rating Charter Officer Comments:	priate level o	f responsibl	lity for fina	4D	ing and acc	N/A[



I/A[]	5	40	3□	2□	10	ission Member: Check competency rating
	1	1 1				ission Member Comments:
					-	
N/A	5 🗆	4□	3□	2□	10	r Officer: Check self-evaluation rating
						r Officer Comments:
						•
o foct	a sarfains	za amplaid	and maximi	to dovoloo	o etrotogias t	eading and Supervision. Effectively establishe
z, iost	e periorina	re employe				eading and Supervision. Effectively established by standards in meeting the vision, mission and
		,	nt.	e departine	goals of the	in standards in meeting the vision, mission and
I/AU	50	40	3□	2□	10	ission Member: Check rating
,,,,,,,,,		1.0	100		-5, -4,-4	ission Member Comments:
						531011 I Aleitiber Committents.
N/AC	5	4□	3□	2□	1	r Officer: Check self-evaluation rating
N/A[	5□	4□	3□	2	10	er Officer: Check self-evaluation rating
N/A	5□	4	3□	2	1	er Officer: Check self-evaluation rating er Officer Comments:
n/a[	5□	4	3□	2	1	
N/A[	5□	4	3□	2	1□	
N/A	5	4	3□	2	1	
N/AC	5□	4	3	2	1□	
N/AC	5□	4	3□	2	1□	
N/A	5□	4	3□	2	1□	
N/A	5□	4	3□	2	1□	
N/A	5	4	3□	2	1	
N/A	5□	4		2	1	er Officer Comments:
N/AC	5	4	3 Date:	2	1	
N/A	50	12/)		2	1	er Officer Comments:
N	5□	4	3□	2	1□	

Return fully completed Charter Officer Performance Evaluation form to Human Resources Director, Box 20



Additional Goals Sheet

*Overall Support of City Commission Goals and Objectives. Demonstrates an understanding of and supports the City's philosophy (vision, mission, values); actively pursues an understanding of organizational goals and objectives and how these relate to the Charter Officers' job and department.  *Charter to attach Accomplishments Report for Evaluation Period  Goal 2 - Describe Goal(s):									
Commission Member: Check performance rating	1 🗆	2	3□	4□	5	N/A			
Commission Member Comments:									
Charter Officer: Check self-evaluation rating	1□	2	3□	4	5 🗆	N/A 🗆			
Goal 3 - Describe Goal(s):	1 [7]	э[-]	2	4	EIT)	N/A[]			
Commission Member: Check performance rating	1 🗆	2□	3□	411	5 🗆	N/A 🗆			
Commission Member Comments:				-					
Charter Officer: Check self-evaluation rating	1	2 🗆	3[]	4	5 🗆	N/A□			
Charter Officer Comments:									