



#### Introduction:

The City Commission is responsible for conducting Charter Officer annual evaluations ensuring the Charter contributes to the achievement of the City's mission, vision and goals. Charter Officer performance evaluations shall be conducted after the conclusion of each fiscal year.

The purpose of the Charter Officer evaluation is to provide timely, clear and focused input to the Charter about how well he or she is performing in the key performance areas identified as most critical by the City Commission in achieving the City of Gainesville's strategic objectives.

The Charter Officer should be evaluated using criteria established by the Commission, aligning with established core competencies, review rating scale criteria and salary adjustment schedules as determined by Human Resources. Human Resources will notify the Commission at least one month in advance of performance evaluation due dates. Annual salary increases will be based on the Charter Officer's performance of established objectives in the prior fiscal year. Any annual awards are made based on market considerations and according to budgetary or fiscal constraints.

#### Commission Instructions:

Evaluate the Charter Officer's performance for each area of accountability using the rating scale outlined below. Consider all criteria together when forming an impression about the Charter Officer's performance. Add comments you believe provide context to your rating or that would be helpful to the Charter Officer.

		Performance Descriptors and Rating Scale
5	Superior	Work performance consistently exceeds all performance expectations and displays a consistent level of exceptional performance. This is consistent, exceptional performance.
4	Exemplary	Work performance consistently achieves all performance expectations and key elements of performance consistently are carried out in an exceptional manner or sustained periods. This is consistent, outstanding performance.
3	Meets Expectations	Work performance consistently achieves all performance expectations for this factor.
2	Needs Improvement	Work performance on one or more elements is less than expected and requires some improvements to fully meet performance standards.
1	Did Not Meet Expectations	Work performance within this factor is unacceptable. Performance did not meet expected performance standards.

Ratings 2 or below should include comments and if applicable provide specific suggestions for performance improvement.

#### Charter Officer Instructions and Next Steps:

- Using the above Rating Scale, the Charter Officer will self-evaluate and rate his or her performance. The Charter Officer should also attach his or her **Accomplishments List or Report** to the form.
- Charter Officer to submit completed self-evaluation and accomplishments report to Human Resources.
- Charter Officer to schedule individual evaluation discussion meetings with each Commission member to discuss selfevaluation and list of accomplishments. Charters should also be prepared to discuss objectives for next fiscal year.
- After reviewing the Charter Officer self-evaluation and accomplishments report with the Charter Officer, each Commission member will provide an evaluation rating, using the above Rating Scale.
- Following Commission and Charter performance evaluation discussion, completed performance evaluations are returned to Human Resources.
- Human Resources will compile and aggregate all Charter Officer evaluation ratings, coordinate and present for
  discussion during a publicly noticed Commission meeting. Note: Salary increases are budgeted in a personal services
  contingency account and recommended salary increases will be processed within established payroll processing
  timelines.



Ev	aluator:	14/4	)				
Ti	Title:						
page 5):							
ojectives. D sues an und rtment.	derstandin						
110	2	3	4	5	N/A		
46 123			1	17	19/11		
1[]	2	3□	4	5 🗆	N/A 🗆		
incial statu	s of the or	ganization :	and core on	erational a	ea of		
1□	2□	3□	4	50	N/A		
1	2□	3□	4□	5□	N/A□		
	Tipage 5): oliginal status and a status incial status indicators	Title: page 5): pjectives. Demonstrations an understanding rement. ation Period  1	page 5):  pjectives. Demonstrates an understanding of organization Period  1	Title:  page 5): Descrives. Demonstrates an understanding of organizational goartment.  ation Period  1 2 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Title:  large 5): Dijectives. Demonstrates an understanding of and supposues an understanding of organizational goals and objectment.  ation Period  1 2 3 4 5 5 1 1 1 1 2 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		



the City.  Commission Member: Check competency rating	10	2[]	3□	4□	50	N/A
Commission Member Comments:						
Charter Officer: Check self-evaluation rating	10	2	3□	4□	5□	N/A
Charter Officer Comments:						
3. Fiscal Management. Prepares a balanced budg ensuring actions and decisions reflect an approp						
ensuring actions and decisions reflect an approp	oriate level o	f responsibi	lity for fina	ncial plann	ing and acc	countability
ensuring actions and decisions reflect an approx Commission Member: Check competency rating	1□	f responsibi	lity for fina	ncial plann	ing and acc	countability
ensuring actions and decisions reflect an approproach the competency rating Commission Member: Check competency rating Commission Member Comments:  Charter Officer: Check self-evaluation rating	oriate level o	f responsibi	lity for fina	ncial plann	ing and acc	countability
ensuring actions and decisions reflect an approximation Member: Check competency rating Commission Member Comments:  Charter Officer: Check self-evaluation rating	1□	f responsibi	lity for fina	ncial plann	ing and acc	N/A
ensuring actions and decisions reflect an appropriate of the competency rating	1□	f responsibi	lity for fina	ncial plann	ing and acc	N/A



					1	T
ommission Member: Check competency rating	10	2□	3□	4	500	N/A
ommission Member Comments:						
harter Officer: Check self-evaluation rating	10	2	3 🗆	4	5□	N/A
harter Officer Comments:						
<ul> <li>Leading and Supervision. Effectively establishes high standards in meeting the vision, mission and</li> </ul>				ize employ	ee perform	ance, fost
Commission Member: Check rating	10	2□	3□	40	50	N/A
				192	7	14/W
				966	7-	N/AL
ommission Member Comments:	10	2	3□	4	50	
Commission Member Comments: Charter Officer: Check self-evaluation rating						N/AC
charter Officer: Check self-evaluation rating						
Charter Officer: Check self-evaluation rating Charter Officer Comments:						

Return fully completed Charter Officer Performance Evaluation form to Human Resources Director, Box 20



Additional Goals Sheet

*Overall Support of City Commission Goals and Ob	jectives. D	emonstrat	es an unde	rstanding o	f and supp	orts the
City's philosophy (vision, mission, values); actively purs						
how these relate to the Charter Officers' job and depar						
*Charter to attach Accomplishments Report for Evalua		od				
Goal 2 - Describe Goal(s):	and a stronger to					
						1
						1
						1
						-
Commission Member: Check performance rating	1 🗆	2	3□	4	5□	N/A□
Commission Member Comments:						
Commission Member Commission						- 1
						1
						1
						1
Charter Officer: Check self-evaluation rating	1	2	3□	4□	5□	N/A 🗆
Charter Officer Comments:						- 1
Goal 3 - Describe Goal(s):						
Gour 5 - Describe Goursy.						- 1
						- 1
						- 1
						- 1
						1
Commission Member: Check performance rating	1 🗆	2	3□	4□	5□	N/A□
Commission Member Comments:						- 1
						- 1
						1
						- 1
						- 1
Charter Officer: Check self-evaluation rating	1	2□	3□	4□	5□	N/A[]
Charter Officer Comments:				1		
						- 1
						ł
						- 1
						- 1
l .						1
						- 1